

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/029,249	12/28/2001	Arjen Brandsma	PB0024/US	4985	
466	7590 07/08/2003				
YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER		
	23RD STREET 2ND FLOC N, VA 22202	OK .	CHARLES,	CHARLES, MARCUS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3682		
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
, '	10/029,249	BRANDSMA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marcus Charles	3682					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day; will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 A</u>	April 2003						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		•					
	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
<u></u>							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2001 is/ar		o by the Evaminer					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		, , , , ,					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	_						
application from the International Bur	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rec	eived.					
Attachment(s)	2 2.10.1.7 4.1301 00 0.0.0. 33 120	wild VI 121,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6.	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/029,249

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the election and amendment filed 04-11-2003, which have been entered. Claims 1-8 and 10 are currently pending.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of group (1) claims 1-8 and 10in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Applicant has elected to cancel non-elected claim 9.

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

- 2. The draftsman has approved the drawing filed with this application as formal drawing.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because in figure 4, the sectional line B-B should be IV-IV and in fig. 5, B-B should be deleted. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

Abstract

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the phrase "the invention relates to a " should be replaced by --A--. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In page 1 and 2, paragraphs 1, it is not proper to include references to the claims in the specification. It is suggested to delete such as references to the claims from the specification.

In pages 4 and 7, lines 10and 21, respectively, "B-B" should be --V-V--.

The following sub-titles are missing:

- (a) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (b) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (c) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (d) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (e) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,249

Art Unit: 3682

Claim Objections

7. Claims 1-2 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, "the carrier contact face", "the inner contact face" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 2, "the carrier inner inward facing" lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

In claim 3, "the surface profiling" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 4, line 4, "correspfonds" should be --corresponds--.

In claim 6, "the rocking edge" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 1-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 1, 4 and 10, the term "preferably" renders the scope of the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the term are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 10. In addition, a broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The

Page 4

Art Unit: 3682

Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitations "one or more bands" and "0.6 μ m" and the claims also recite "a plurality of endless metal bands" and "0.75 μ m" respectively, which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation. In addition, claim 10 recites the broad recitations "primary shafts rotating speeds" and "up to 4000 RPM and the claim also recites "up to 6000 RPM" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

In claim 10, it is unclear as to what is being considered as "a major part of the regular range of a primary shaft rotating speeds".

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 1-8 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kanehara et al.(6,090,004), JP(61-160645), Ide (5,004,450) Yamada(5,152,722) and Yoshida et al.(6,273,837) disclose a belt comprising a plurality of elements in frictional contact with a plurality of carriers. Masuda et al.(5,169,369), Lecouturier et al.(4,968,288), Cuypers(4,643,702) and JP(62-151644) disclose and belt

Application/Control Number: 10/029,249

Art Unit: 3682

Page 6

with lubricating oil. JP(10-286717) discloses a lubrication having a dynamic viscosity between 400-5000 cSt.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (703) 305-6877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 7:30 am-600 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3597 for regular communications and (703) 305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Marcus Charles
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3682
June 27, 2003